



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49276
Title of the Manuscript:	Regrowth of yerba mate plants (Ilex paraguariensis A. St.- hill.) submitted to dynamized high-dilution preparations
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The introduction is very extensive, it is recommended concretize and add information about the ecological importance played by the <i>yerba mate</i> plant in soil conservation. Add also, information about socioeconomic aspects of this plant.	Modified
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract The methodology required specifications in the short and concrete. Present, the outstanding results (in numbers). Add the following words to the <i>Keywords</i>: <i>yerba mate</i>, <i>re-growth</i>, <i>high-dilution preparation</i>.</p> <p>Material and Methods Add the location map of the study area. Specify if the germinative tests were applied in the production of the seedlings of <i>Ilex paraguariensis</i>.</p> <p>results Sort the results of Tables 2 (on the mean of fresh mass of yerba branches matte pruned), 4 (on the average height of grass plants mate) and 5 (on the estimates of the parameters of the logistic model) in homogeneous and heterogeneous groups according to the treatment applied and statistical signs. Add the title to the Table 3 and specify whether there are or not the significant differences between treatments for the measured variables.</p> <p>Conclusion The conclusion was presented in very briefly. Further specifications on the vegetative recovery of yerba mate dynamized by using high-dilution preparations of <i>Arnica montana</i>, <i>Calendula officinalis</i> and <i>Carbo veg</i> is recommended.</p>	<p>Abstract -ok Keywords - Ok Material and methods - Specify if the germination tests were applied in the production of the <i>Ilex paraguariensis</i> seedlings - not applicable, the plants were purchased at 6 months of age Results – Ok Title – ok Conclusion - ok</p>
Optional/General comments	In general terms, the present manuscript is properly raised and structured from the methodological point of view. The results of mean of fresh mass of yerba mate pruned branches, the average height of yerba mate plants and the estimates of the parameters of the logistic model were properly interpreted and compared with the results of different authors on the subject.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	