



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49438
Title of the Manuscript:	FLORISTIC COMPOSITION AND PHYTOSANITARY STATE OF THE ARBORAL COVERAGE OF THE URBARNO PARK OF THE CITY OF RECIFE-PE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Title:</b> The title do not precise the country of the study The proposed title: FLORISTIC COMPOSITION AND PHYTOSANITARY STATE OF THE ARBORAL COVERAGE OF THE ZOOLOGICAL SECTOR OF THE STATE PARK DOIS IRMÃOS, IN THE CITY OF RECIFE, PERNAMBUCO, BRAZIL</p> <p><b>Abstract:</b> This sentence needs a revision: From the <b>DAP</b> and the <b>DAP</b>, it is possible to infer that the data is compiled by processes in the adult phase. Is DAP written two successive times means different things? If yes specify, if no cancel one. The first sentence is not clear, it needs to be revised. In the conclusion the authors must add at the least one benefit of trees.</p> <p><b>Add this keyword:</b> <i>Plant health</i>,</p> <p><b>Study area:</b> There is a need of a map of the study area which give a visual site of the study in relation with the all Brazil</p> <p><b>Table 1:</b> the title is too long. From the text put out the title and avoid repeating the data which are in the table in the text. At the end of the table 1 a legend is needed Table 2: proposed title: <b>List of the recorded 10 most frequent tree species</b>. The text in this present title must be sent to a legend.</p> <p><b>Figure 1, Figure 2, Figure 3, Figure 4:</b> The titles are too long.</p> <p><b>Figure 5:</b> Specify clearly figure 5A and 5B under the figure</p> <p><b>4. CONCLUSION: The conclusion is the repetition of the results. The authors must change the conclusion by a text that shows that the objectives were realized</b></p> <p><b>Please the authors must exploit this proposed conclusion</b></p> <p>This study has shown that floristic surveys are an important tool for the knowledge of biodiversity. It has provided valuable information about the conservation and management of the State Park Dois Irmãos (PEDI), in the city of Recife. Indeed, many species in good health were recorded in this State Park, in order to conduct the promotion and development of activities focused on environmental education that is the key for the solution of climate change.</p>	<p>Dear friends, I appreciate the suggestions to improve the quality of our manuscript. These were carried out when we thought it necessary.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Authors must look for recent references for the discussion of this work	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p><b>Introduction:</b> very good</p> <p><b>Materials and methods:</b> it presents very good and complete different sections needed in this study</p> <p><b>Results and discussion:</b> All the obtained results reflected the different parts of the methodology.</p>	:



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	All the suggestions were very valuable.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>