



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49537
Title of the Manuscript:	TOXICITY AQUEOUS EXTRACT OF THE CASTOR TO <i>Maconellicoccus hirsutus</i> (GREEN) (HEMIPTERA: PSEUDOCOCCIDAE)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is a very nice testing experiment of the potential use of castor oil for controlling <i>Maconellicoccus hirsutus</i> . The manuscript in general fits the quality standards of scientific papers. I only have a couple of comments. The Material and methods sections lacks some information, or needs a relocation of some parts. For example, in the 2.2 section you give no details about how you did obtain the oil, but it is explained latter, in the toxicity test. And relating to the missing information, you need to explain a little more where did you obtain the mealybugs (where is the pumpking field?), and which statistical software did you use to run the tests and to display them as a graph.	Noted and checked accordingly
Minor REVISION comments	The first time a species name is mentioned in the text (the title does not count), author should be included. Introduction section, first paragraph, line 2: "hibiscus" is repeated. Furthermore, you give two common names of the pest, but along the manuscript you use a different common name. Results and discussion, last paragraph: "In this context" is repeated. The english needs to be revised.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	