



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49640
Title of the Manuscript:	Elephant Grass Genotypes to Bioenergy Production
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	In general, research is original and topic is important, experimental design is also well. In general paper is written well. My notes are marked in the text of paper. Please, see added file.	Done
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	1) Grammar should be improved mostly regarding to the order of words in sentences. Some sentences have no verbs, others do not contain the nouns, and some have clearly wrong order of the words. For example "The analysis of variance and Scott-Knott's means clustering test, as [19]." etc.  2) Also some part should be improved as "agricultural <u>sector</u> has species", "This is not interesting (undesirable ? ) for direct burning due to the formation..." "This variable is most useful to predict the potential of dry matter production, being positively correlated with the variable" etc.  Short names' explanations must be added/corrected to Table 1 - SB, CEC;  According <u>to</u>	We have made the corrections
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I recommend to add one more key word – "Elephant grass <i>Pennisetum purpure</i> "	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	