



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49719
Title of the Manuscript:	Postharvest Quality Of Prickly Pear Coated By Cassava Starch And Stored Under Refrigeration
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment : <b>Review made</b>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The topic should read effect of Cassava starch coating on the quality and shelf life of prickly pear in refrigerated storage.</li> <li>2. The abstract should begin with at least two lines of introduction.</li> <li>3. Line 65 should read materials and methods.</li> <li>4. Determination of total soluble sugars in line 99 should be explained in detail in the manuscript. What has been written is a summary.</li> <li>5. Determination of titratable acidity and ascorbic acid in lines 106 and 110 respectively should be explained in details in the manuscript with their respective formulas for calculating clearly written.</li> <li>6. There should be a clear and concise experimental design in the materials and methods. This is a factorial experiment, therefore bring out the experimental factors, treatment levels, treatment combinations with the number of experimental units.</li> <li>7. Make compulsory grammatical corrections in the entire manuscript.</li> <li>8. Arrange the manuscript according to journal specifications.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Good work by authors.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <b>Not are there ethical issues in this manuscript.</b>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	