



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_44688
Title of the Manuscript:	Non-Performing Loans (NPL) and Profitability of Microfinance Institutions (MFIs) in Ghana: Evidence from the Kasoa Municipality.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The views of the key informants selected from the sample area (participating firms) are not clearly integrated in the analysis</p> <p>189: explanatory and exploratory not clearly justified and demonstrated in the analysis</p>	<p>We agree with the reviewer and the corrections have been effected in page 229-230.</p> <p>We agree with the reviewer and the corrections have effected in page 189-191.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>61-62: language not clear</p> <p>131: punctuation (.)</p> <p>208: statement on interview guide not clearly integrated in the work</p>	<p>Corrections have been effected in page 58-62.</p> <p>Corrections have been done in page 131.</p> <p>Agreed and corrections effected in page 209-211</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>Generally, the paper in an interesting topic. The author/s should provide explanation and indicate how some terms were applied in the study; quantitative, qualitative, exploratory and convenience sampling techniques</p>	<p>These have been corrected</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>No ethical issues found</p>	<p>The ethical issues have been provided in page 214-224.</p>