



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEMT_46038</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Economic efficiency of paddy cultivated farmers in Raichur district of Karnataka (India)</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The objective of the analysis is interesting but the current version of manuscript needs a radical new structure and development.</p> <p>First, the aim should be evidenced in the abstract and introduction sections.</p> <p>Second, the methodological approach should be explained in a clearer way.</p> <p>Third, the contribution can be made evident only putting the accent on the gap in the literature.</p> <p>Finally, the interpretations of results are not consistent with the objectives and need further improvement also in terms of policy implications.</p>	<p>Yes sir, i agree with your comment restructured manuscript</p> <p>Yes sir, as per your suggestion i added evidenced in the abstract and introduction sections.</p> <p>Methodological chapter added as per tools used and explain concepts</p> <p>As per your suggestion restructured the literature</p> <p>Interpretations of results and policy implications reform and added in terms of policy implications</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	