SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEMT_46613**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Local governance in Vietnam**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. Title should be: Local Governance in Vietnam** **2. Excellent article. Please change to make more sense:** **- in the abstract:** *The public governance conditions affect to the final outcome of public administration reform, the outcomes of local government reform* **please revise to:** *Public governance conditions affect public administration reform, and the outcomes of local government reform are based on a number of variables including participation…* **3. Your content is spot on.** **4. Conclusion: You wrote -** Specifically, the Vietnam is a good case to study the transitional stage still to keep progress in human and socio-economic development. **Please change to make sense -** Specifically, this paper reviews the Vietnamese government as a case study in transitional staging whose purpose is progress in human and socio-economic development. **- also: The next sentence makes no sense. Divide it. And, do not identify your work as "thoroughly", that is up to a reviewer to determine, not the writer.**	Thank you for the comments and suggests. From the review, I would like to revise as follows: 1. The Title revised: **Local Governance in Vietnam** 2. I revised the suggested sentence of Abstract accordingly. Thank you 3. Ok 4. I revised the suggested sentences on conclusions.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	**This is a good paper, but the English needs to be smoothed out a bit. The content seems spot on; I have problems with sentence structure. Perhaps a native English speaker could assist.**	I have re-read and revised the grammar

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	