



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_49287
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of mandatory adoption of IFRS on earnings predictability of firms in the financial services sector
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	✓ There are some grammatical mistakes throughout the paper.	Agreed and corrected
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Write both null and alternative hypothesis ✓ Customize the table as per journal requirement. Do not paste the table as it appears in SPSS output. ✓ Change Prior research as literature review in section heading 2,3. ✓ Write equation in professional manner with equation number as reference. Ex: (Eq-1).. and remove the table for equation. ✓ Write explanation for * Mark under the respective table. i.e. significant at 5%... ✓ The result of hypothesis testing, we cannot write as accepted, if so. Rather write it as "not rejected". 	Agreed and corrected
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Add more keywords ✓ The paper is focus interesting research are of study. 	Agreed and done

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	