



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_49287
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect</b> of mandatory adoption of IFRS on earnings predictability of firms in the financial services sector
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ There are some grammatical mistakes throughout the paper.</li> </ul>	Agreed and corrected
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Write both null and alternative hypothesis</li> <li>✓ Customize the table as per journal requirement. Do not paste the table as it appears in SPSS output.</li> <li>✓ Change Prior research as literature review in section heading 2,3.</li> <li>✓ Write equation in professional manner with equation number as reference. Ex: (Eq-1).. and remove the table for equation.</li> <li>✓ Write explanation for * Mark under the respective table. i.e. significant at 5%...</li> <li>✓ The result of hypothesis testing, we cannot write as accepted, if so. Rather write it as "not rejected".</li> </ul>	Agreed and corrected
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Add more keywords</li> <li>✓ The paper is focus interesting research are of study.</li> </ul>	Agreed and done

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	