



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_45062
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies of the Compositional Characteristics of Commercial Roasted Beet Root Chips Snacks
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Lines 7-9: The sentence "The amounts of various compounds sucrose, glucose, fructose, micronutrients, and physical properties in roasted beet root chips of two varieties cultivated in Jessore (BRJS) and Kustia (BRKS), Bangladesh." is meaningless. Missing something?</p> <p>Lines 15-16: Do not refer to tables or figures in the abstract.</p> <p>Lines 18, 19, 20: <math>5.35 \times 10^{-9}</math>, <math>2.43 \times 10^{-9}</math>, <math>1.9 \times 10^{-9}</math> instead <math>5.35 \times 10^{-9}</math>, <math>2.43 \times 10^{-9}</math>, <math>1.9 \times 10^{-9}</math></p> <p>Line 23: texture, instead "Texture". This occurred throughout the text: words that were incorrectly initialed with capital letters. (for example, lines 48-50; line 59).</p> <p>INTRODUCTION: The figures showing beets must be numbered.</p> <p>Throughout the manuscript: the figures and tables should be quoted in the text, not simply appear without any reference to them. As examples, I cite Figure 1 and Table 1.</p> <p>Line 119: what is KMS?</p> <p>Line 166: Figure 2 is very confusing (and was also not quoted in the text).</p> <p>Line 206: Figure 4 is very confusing.</p>	<p>Sentence correction done</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Revision done</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Figure has been numbered</p> <p>Tables and figures were numbered</p> <p>Figure 2 has been quoted in the text</p> <p>Figure 4 deleted</p>



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	I recommend a full review of the text. There are several spelling mistakes.	corrected

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	