



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_47593
Title of the Manuscript:	Design and Construction of an Atmospheric Pressure HF Plasma Source
Type of the Article	Original Research Papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Reference style. (Whole article).</p> <p>Better resolution is required for all the Figure in order to make it visible and readable.</p> <p>2.1 DC Power supply</p> <p>Figure 3 shows that, the AC voltage is connected to a transformer. (Description for Figure 2 is missing)</p> <p>Tables and figures should be presented as per their appearance in the text. (Figure 8-10)</p> <p>Refer link below for correction.</p> <p>http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/general-guideline-for-authors#Type_of_papers</p>	<p>Thanks for your valuable suggestion. We checked through the whole paper and make some corrections according to your suggestions.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We follow the latex template reference style and do some correction as highlighted in the pdf file. 2. For figure we have increased the resolution as clear visible and readable. 3. Section 2.1 DC Power Supply <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. We have explained the section carefully with added figure description. ii. We follow it and make presentation according to their appearance in the text.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>