



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_47661
Title of the Manuscript:	Statistical Analysis of Rice Husk Ash as a Construction Material in Building Production Process
Type of the Article	Short research article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Line 73-74, "compressive strength", and line 76 "strength of concrete", however, look through the whole paper, I didn't find any strength data wrote in this article.</li> <li>In table 4 what does the superscript I,j and K mean?</li> <li>Line90, table 3, there is no data changing, so this table has no meaning. Similarly, table 8 and table 11 are the same.</li> <li>Line 108, Can you show the source of this Estimator, and also include others estimator, such as Hampel's M-Estimator et al.</li> <li>Line 121, where is Table 13?</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The concrete strength is in table 1</li> <li>The superscript of i, j k and h express the concrete mix component variations using different selected estimators.</li> <li>The table express the necessities of data validity, to determine whether the data is fit for the analysis.</li> <li>The sources of these estimators are SPSS software. It is one of the tools in SPSS software package.</li> <li>Table 14 is the missing table 13</li> </ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	