



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_47696
Title of the Manuscript:	Pick and Place Process Optimization for Thin Semiconductor Packages
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I have gone through article named (Pick and Place Process Optimization for Thin Semiconductor Packages), there are few issues which needs to be addressed carefully. Recommendation- MINOR Revision. Comments - 1) Introduction section is very poorly written, what is use of using capital letters in introduction? Please correct. 2) Introduction section is very concise, please extend 3) Results and discussions are essence of the article, in the current paper, they are not properly discussed, i suggest to properly discuss the results and discussions. 4) Conclusion is written in very ambiguous manner, please re draft 5) There are too many linguistic errors, correct. 6) References are not sufficient, please increase and please mark the access date on the links.	Thank you for your review comments. Corrections done.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>