



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_49579
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION OF SPECIFIC ABSORPTION RATE OF GSM SIGNAL IN PORT HARCOURT, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract: line 14 remove "the fact. Line 18 was not were. Line 20 show not shows. Line 25 makes not make. Sec.1.1 line 10 ailments not ailment. Line 11 counting lings?????. Line 13 remove exercise and use another word. Line 16 observed not observe. Sec 2.1:line 2 remove of. sec.2.2 line 6 remove in. Se. 2.3: line 1 remove at. Sec. 3 : line 2 remove the fact that. Line 3 remove used. Antennas not antenna. Sec. 3.1: Line 1figures not figure. Line 5 state not states. Line 6 remove used. Line 7 antennas not antenna. Sec.4. line 4 show not shows.	All the errors observed by the reviewer has been corrected and highlighted
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	