



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_46646
Title of the Manuscript:	Teacher's Career - Scientific Fiction or Reality
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Topic not aligned with objectives of the research. Objectives are generally generated from key variables identifiable in the topic. 2. Rework your abstract to capture the synopsis of the work; i.e. objectives, methods, findings, some recommendations. I also think citation are not common in abstract. 3. Conceptualize your key variables from the objectives in your Introduction. 4. How are these broad subheads connected to your work [Professional teacher culture; Teacher as a reflective practitioner; Feminization of profession and Characteristics of a successful teacher?] Subheads should be related to variables of the study that are derivable from objectives of the study. 5. Present table to show results of each of the hypotheses 6. Discuss your findings with citation from the Literature. 	<p>I accepted Your suggestions and made the following changes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I changed the title of the article so that it better reflects the primary purpose of the research - I have aligned the subject with the research goals - I have worked out the abstract, and outlined the basic information about the research - I have supplemented the chapters Introduction and Conclusion - I separated the chapters Results and Discussion; I have more elaborated further on the obtained results, setting out more concrete conclusions - I revised the subchapters and omitted the superfluous parts of the text - I have added tables containing survey results - I added current references - I have edited the vocabulary the and syntax of the text
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Research work with bibliography is rear. I suggest you use only references.	I have only included the titles cited in the article in the Reference List. Encouraged by your critical review I tried to improve the language of the article to suit the scientific discourse.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	