Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JESBS_46657**
Title of the Manuscript:	**ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS AND DESIGN OF METRIC TO IDENTIFY KEY OPINION LEADERS IN RESEARCH**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The author (s) highlighted new information in their research and contribution in the field of research ethics, quality, and recognition of scientist researchers in their remarkable work.**	
Minor REVISION comments	The total population of INGENIO research staff was taken as a sample and it is a TOTAL sample. The authors should say this in their design. Also the approach of the research is quantitative and it is worth mentioning.	The points raised by the reviewer has been effected in the manuscript
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	