



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_46958
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE AND DETERMINANTS OF INTIMATE PARTNER VIOLENCE AMONG MARRIED MEN IN OSOGBO, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The whole document requires reformatting. Currently, formatting is different in different sections 2. Define terms used to determine Intimate partner violence (IPV). Define this at the introduction section e.g. what is sexual abuse, psychological abuse etc 3. Alcohol and smoking were not included in IPV and yet it contributes a lot in abuse. Indicate this as a limitation in the study. 	<p>Correction noted and effected in accordance with SDI paper template</p> <p>Correction noted and effected</p> <p>Correction noted and effected</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I have indicated some minor revisions in track changes. 2. In the acknowledgement, there is need to determine those who contributed most to this study e.g. the organizations that supported your study in terms of funds and human resources 3. Use same method of referencing in the narrative 	<p>Correction noted and effected</p> <p>Correction noted and effected</p> <p>Correction noted and effected</p>
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reduce the conclusion to key issues policy makers need to address and strategies that could be put in place. 	<p>Correction noted and effected</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	