SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JGEESI_32939**
Title of the Manuscript:	**HYDROGEOCHEMICAL ASSESSMENT OF GROUNDWATER QUALITY IN OWERRI METROPOLIS, IMO STATE, SOUTHERN NIGERIA**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**In the abstract, it is mandatory to complete the mean result by standard deviation values** **Fig 1, there is not scale bar, no orientation the geographical North.** **Line 113 : delete the. before [13].** **Line 135 : change Deeply by deeplyy weathered and intensively leached ; at the starting of the sentence** **Line 168 temperature symbol is not correct** **Line 172 : it is better to write 22 and 23** **Line 175 to Line 180 is part of the method of study. It is better to send this part in method part of the document.** **In the Table 2 : pH @ must be delete** **Line 255 to Line 258: part of the method of study. It should be send in method part of the document.** **Line 263 in not important for this study. Author is mandatory to delete this part**	Noted and taken care of. Done. Not Applicable. I think it is correct – Degree Celsius (°C) Done. The change has been effected. Have been revised. Done. Done. Done.
Minor REVISION comments	Reference : 2. All references must be uniform as per journal style (example for the reference 1 the number of the document is absent) 1. Statistical analysis is well done but more can be done to test significance difference between the study areas 2. Acknowledgement section is very important as you appreciate the effort/support from others and therefore it is proper to be included. 3. Declaration of no conflict of interest between/among the authors should also be stated	In the reference, some are books while some are journals. Noted Have been included Have been included

SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	The paper is well written and presents scientific originality. **Please clarify the ethical issue if any.**	