



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_46297
Title of the Manuscript:	Poverty and Environmental Degradation: A Critical Analysis of the Nexus
Type of the Article	Policy Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Kindly check the statements. The use of "we" and "I" in the introduction and the abstract. 2. In Section "The Global Imperative to Address Poverty and Environmental Degradation" Can you please cite those researches, since its very necessary; for the sake of readers. 3. In section "Three Theorizations of the Relationship between Poverty and Environmental Degradation". This is an interesting part of the script. I suggest, you should redevelop this section by avoiding talking about only one person's idea. There is supposed to be a link between his/her idea based on factual ideas from different perspectives from different persons. Clarify this section with more literature. 4. I do not see any figures and tables in the review which is very important. I therefore recommend author/authors to see some recent reviews published and put some nice 5 to 10 overview figures. Readers mainly decide to read or cite on the basis of figures (attractive figures are key for the article to be successful). Authors are also encouraged to draft 3 to 4 tables from their available data to strengthen the article. 5. References are too small for this article since this area is not new. 6. I have also attached your manuscript with track changes pointing out some minor errors in the script. Kindly revise accordingly. <p>I will look forward reading your article (it is on a nice topic).</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I corrected it accordingly. 2. I cited those researches accordingly. 3. I added more and more recent updated literature and tried to clarify this literature. 4. I added suitable figures and tables. 5. I added many more references, specifically recent updated literature. 6. I revised it accordingly.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues are concerned.