



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_47001
Title of the Manuscript:	METEOROLOGICAL VARIABLES THAT AFFECT VISIBILITY DEGRADATION AND THEIR SEASONAL TRENDS IN THE NIGER DELTA REGION OF NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: Ln 8-12: Sentence- "Analysis of a 31 years regression analysis and statistical techniques" is too long so it could be fragmented for more clarity. Secondly, regression analysis is also a statistical technique so no need to write 'regression analysis' here. Ln 15: full stop is needed to end the sentence. Introduction: Ln 22-24: Put a sentence to clear how visibility is caused for deaths. Ln 36: at al → et al., Ln 37-38: Paragraph should not be changed. Ln 42-43: Look at the relevancy of sentence as mentioned that emission is insignificant? Study Area: Ln 71: 'Data/Method' should be replaced by 'Data Availability' as the content under the heading is giving information for data only. Under the separate heading 'Data Analysis', mention the analysis done in the paper. Results and Discussion: Figures are required to be explained and expended for clarity Ln 179: Remove heading as already given If dry Season and wet season refer to summers and monsoon, explain. Ln 189: why question mark? Revise the sentence. Table 2: The numbers from which analysis? Ln 223: What is the meaning of the values given as wind direction? Conclusion: Hydrocarbon data should be analysed to address the objective of the paper. Ln 250: What is missing height? Due to lack of references there is no clarity in the text. Gaps should be fulfilled by giving proper references. More analysis should be included. English language needs to be improved.</p>	We have corrected all the corrections as per the valuable comments
Minor REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	