



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_47400
Title of the Manuscript:	DETAILS GEOLOGICAL MAPPING OF ELSHEREIK AREA STUDY, RIVER NILE STATE, NORTH SUDAN
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>TITLE:</b> The title is weakly organized. Consider revising to read “ The Geological Mapping of the EL Shereik Area of River State, North Sudan”</p> <p>The Abstract is very poor. It is not a section for comparison, figurative and allied illustrative works. Therefore, all the figures, references etc. should be removed and the section rewritten to convey the message of the main work.</p> <p>The author(s) grossly neglect the existing studies on the geological mapping in the introduction. Instead the section is used for description of the study area. This is not very good.</p> <p>It is not stated whether this manuscript is an original Research Article, Review Article, or short Communication (see the first paragraph of the introduction and abstract).</p> <p>There are very many instances of poor grammars, tenses, omissions etc. which together affect the communication and quality of this work.</p> <p>Finally, the manuscript is too lengthy.</p>	<p>Title modified</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>We have corrected the section</p> <p>Grammatical corrections have been made and effected in the manuscript</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This is an in-depth description of a study area using geologic variables. It is only a very good manuscript if it is a review article. I strongly suggest that the work be it be publish as a “Review Article” .	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>