



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_47505
Title of the Manuscript:	Livelihood Vulnerability of Fishery-based Communities in Context of Climate Change : Insights From and Around Selective Fishing Grounds of South 24 Parganas, West Bengal
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Include a short methodology to the abstract</li> <li>2. The results in the tables need clear explanation</li> <li>3. There is a need to discuss the result in each table and figure</li> <li>4. The text explaining a table supposed to come before the table</li> <li>5. Information from line 136 "Assessment of Livelihood Vulnerability [IPCC, 2007 Framework Approach] to 220, the end of 4.2.1.5 is not result from the study but literature review. Hence it is not expected to be presented as part of result of this study.</li> <li>6. There is a need to read through the whole manuscript to demerge words that were wrongly typed together such as in lines 2, 3, 18, 23, 28, 35 and 42.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. A short methodology has been added.</li> <li>2. Tables and figures have been explained. Interpretation included table no. and figure no. in parenthesis.</li> <li>3. Results of the tables and figures have been explained and discussed and the table no and figure nos. Are mentioned in the interpretation.</li> <li>4. All the texts with respective tables are explained before the tables.</li> <li>5. IPCC, 2007 Framework Approach speaks vulnerability in three functions i.e., exposure to climate variability, sensitivity of the exposure and the ability to adapt. We have discussed the sensitivity and adaptive capacity through primary survey but the exposure has been explained by analyzing the climatic data collected for our stations. We have further analysed the raw climatic data and calculated the trend line.</li> <li>6. The manuscript have been gone through and the demerged words were corrected.</li> </ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



**PART 2:**

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	