



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_49156
Title of the Manuscript:	Reflections and implications of philately in the promotion of mining and mineral samples, fossils and gems in Romania (I): 1945-1960
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>With best of my knowledge, some general points find out and noted down below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In this article, author used presented historical hall marks and explain clearly about the title of the article. This is very interesting and nice gathering with rare photo which is used in this article but not given any suggestions to solve this. Author has clearly specified Abstract and other information in universal format Author used excellent statistical data analysis methods for analysing the Research Problem. Article design and analysing pattern is very good. Sufficient references are included. This article is very useful to potential researchers who are taken relevant this area. <p>Finally, Author describing and analysing in his article is Excellent.</p>	Thank you

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	