



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_49255
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Landform on Agricultural Land Use Pattern: A Case Study of Salda River Basin in Purulia District, West Bengal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>ABSTRACT</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Include a summary of the conclusion of the study</li> </ul> <p><b>INTRODUCTION</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The reference Sen 1990 has not been fully captured under the references section</li> <li>• Figure 1 should first be cited/mentioned under the introduction section</li> <li>• All abbreviations, e.g. C.D., m.a.s.l. should first be written in full then subsequently abbreviated</li> </ul> <p><b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Results and discussions should be objective driven by being organised as follows <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Landform characteristics of the study area</li> <li>b) correlation between landform characteristics and agriculture</li> <li>c) problems and prospects of agricultural land use</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Each objective should be separately and distinctly analysed. The author should indicate, by bringing to the reader's attention, which analysis is currently being presented/discussed.</li> <li>• The citation from Dunn and Dey 1942 should be included under the references section</li> </ul>	<p>Authors have included the summary in abstract.</p> <p>The introduction part has enlarged with adequate background and citation.</p> <p>Figure 1 is cited in introduction.</p> <p>Abbreviations, e.g., C.D., m.a.s.l. are fully written.</p> <p>This article presents the impacts of land classes on agricultural land use i.e. crops pattern.</p> <p>Dunn and Dey 1942 have included in citation and references.</p> <p>The name 'Geological structure of Salda river basin' is removed and the ordering of legend is correct, because line represents the shear zone, which is a minor element of its structure.</p> <p>The entire figure's name is removed.</p>



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The name ‘Geological structure of Salda river basin” that is appearing inside Figure 2 should be removed since the said figure already has a title below it.</li> <li>• Further, from Fig. 2, the ordering of legend items should start with lines followed by polygons. This is a basic requirement in cartography/</li> <li>• The name ‘Physiographic division of Salda River basin” that is appearing inside Figure 3 should be removed since the said figure already has a title below it. Do the same for all Figures/maps</li> <li>• Indicate the sources of Figures 4 and 5.</li> <li>• The author has not conducted an analysis depicting the second objective – correlation between land form characteristics and agriculture. As I have stated before, the analysis should be objective driven. Where is the correlation analysis? As for now, it is not clear where the analysis of the second objective begins.</li> </ul> <p><b>CONCLUSION</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Should be concise and related to the findings of each objective</li> <li>• The author has not indicated the policy implications of the study findings.</li> <li>• The author should give a direction on how the study will add to the existing body on knowledge.</li> </ul> <p><b>REFERENCES</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The author should consider using a consistent referencing style</li> <li>• Most of the materials under the reference section are not cited in the main text, vice versa. This should be corrected.</li> <li>• References provided are not current. Most of them</li> </ul>	<p>References are mentions consistence style and provide recent references.</p>
--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	are more than 20 years old. The author should therefore review current literature and link the same with the study.	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	