



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JMSRR_46490</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Preparation and Physical Properties of CuxZn1-xS thin films Deposited by Metal Organic Chemical Vapour Deposition Technique.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	This paper presented very interesting study on <b>Preparation and Physical Properties of CuxZn1-xS thin films Deposited by Metal Organic Chemical Vapour Deposition Technique</b> . I recommend the publication with the following revision. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. There are many typos error in this paper, so it should be revised seriously.</li> <li>2. The authors should work on the optical results which is not complete (show the graph of the Absorbance, Reflectance and the band gap energies of the material)</li> <li>3. Conclusions must be comprehensive and state forward</li> </ol>	The absorbance is the exact opposite of the transmittance while the reflectance graph is not consistent with what is on literature. However, the band gap energy is illustrated in Figure 6.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments Line 7-8 Line 11-12 Line 117-127	Pls reconstruct your English ( <b>what do you mean by HAVING</b> )  Pls replace the word indicate and restructure your sentence  Pls restructure the way you present your findings	It has been taken care of. Thanks.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	NO