



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_49270
Title of the Manuscript:	Pregnant Women's Health Literacy Promotion Strategies: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. English should be extensively edited. For example, the first several sentences do not make sense, "Health literacy is an important element in health communication (1). Health literacy is the level to which persons have the understand basic health services and information and the ability to obtain, process, communicate, needed to make suitable health decisions (2). Its effect on people's ability to conduct tasks in self care management (3). Health literacy is very important to the people's empowerment (1,4)."</p> <p>My interpretation (of your English) is the followings.</p> <p>"Health literacy is essential for health communication (1); i.e, it helps persons understand/obtain basic health-service-information, leading them to make suitable health decisions (2). Its also promotes people's self-care-management (3), thus leading to people's empowerment (1,4)." This is an example. You should edit whole manuscript. The present manuscript does not make sense due to wrong English. To check English is not reviewer's job and thus I will not point out these linguistic matters from now on.</p> <p>2. Introduction should consist of several (two or three) paragraphs. Make them.</p> <p>3. Search term: "The search terms consist of a combination of key words as "Health", "Literacy", " Health literacy", "Pregnant", " Women", "Pregnant Women", "Maternal Educational Status", and "Adult health literacy" according the medical subject headings (MeSH)." Do you mean "all AND"? State definitely what you did.</p> <p>4. "One sentence paragraph" is prohibited in scientific papers.</p> <p>5. Conclusion should be much more summarized and should consist of at most three sentences.</p>	<p>We appreciate the time and efforts by the editor and referees in reviewing this manuscript. We have addressed all issues indicated in the review report, and believed that the revised version can meet the journal publication requirements.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- We've corrected grammatical mistakes and have asked an English expert to edit the manuscript. 2- We've <i>revised the</i> introduction in the context 3- Yes, our mean was all AND 4- We deleted "One sentence paragraph" 5- We've <i>revised</i> Conclusion
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	