



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_49447
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of the Peganum harmala oil versus 1% permethrin shampoo on the treatment of head louse infestation
Type of the Article	Clinical Trial (Original Article)

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Measurements for symptoms such as itching and burning cannot be compared precisely with statistical inference since they are qualitative and subjective	We only investigated and compared the incidence of these symptoms as a sub-target before and after treatment and between the two groups, and the measurement of severity was not among our goals.
Minor REVISION comments	Correct the notion of drug consumption from drug topical application. Severity of lice determination and applications of the medications are not clearly standardized so the difference in results may be due to systematic error	Our goal was not to equalize the amount and manner of taking the two drugs. But the aim was to see the difference between the two groups in the consumption of permethrin in the standard form and in the oil of Esfand, as it appears in Iranian medical texts, and according to the established practice. Maybe can suggest that a study be done in a similar way.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	