

**Editor's comment:**

1.The background is vague and short narrative.

2.They should state the objectives of the study, sample size, method of analysis and duration of study. It should be improved by demonstrating the methods analysis.

3.The conclusion should be more debated and be constructed under the results. Including or say that this study is according to ethical issues.

**Author's feedback:**

1.The background is clearly stated in the abstract section and is summarised.

2.The abstract clearly states that this study evaluated the effect of a daily consumption of soft drink --- the objective

-The sample size is also clearly stated in the abstract,

-The method of analysis cannot be described in the abstract because of abstract size, but is clearly described in the method section of this manuscript.

-The duration of the study is stated in the abstract

3.This has been responded to in the conclusion section. It has been made more robust.