



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_46025
Title of the Manuscript:	Physicochemical and Nutritive Properties of Palm Oil Extracted from Natural and Breeding Varieties of South East Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p><u>Title suggestions:</u> 1) Analyses of properties of oil extracts from natural and improved varieties of palm fruits</p> <p>2) Studies of physicochemical and nutritive properties of oil extracts from local and improved varieties of palm fruits</p> <p><u>Abstract:</u> 1) should be in block form without sub-headings 2) should contain its major components : introduction, methods, results, conclusion 3) "place and duration of the study," should be moved to relevant section (materials and method)</p>	<p><u>Title suggestions:</u> Thank you for the title suggestions. We approved the suggestion 2. See revised title in yellow font in the revised manuscript.</p> <p><u>Abstract:</u> 1 & 2) See revised abstract written in block as required with major components (introduction, methods, results and conclusion) 3) See place and duration of the study in material and method section</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p><u>Abstract:</u> 1) Full meaning of abbreviation should be provided in its first appearance (this is applicable to all section of the article)</p> <p><u>Introduction:</u> 1) Is the phrase "This study as the first one in Côte d'Ivoire" necessary? (either justify or edit/delete)</p> <p><u>Material and methods:</u> 1) Figure 1 should be in introduction section, or move to appendix 2) Reference citation is necessary (section 2.2) 3) Formulae used for computing AV, SV, IV, etc are necessary (section 2.3.2)</p> <p><u>Discussion:</u> 1) Full meaning and significant of all abbreviations in tables should be given 2) GCMS of 'breeding varieties' should be provided</p> <p><u>Conclusion:</u> 1) should be on the two varieties of palm fruits</p> <p><u>Reference:</u> 1) should confirm to format provided in the authors' guideline</p>	<p><u>Abstract:</u> 1) See abbreviations and their full meaning in abstract and all section of revised manuscript</p> <p><u>Introduction:</u> 1) The part "as the first one in Côte d'Ivoire" has been deleted from the phrase. See revised phrase in yellow font.</p> <p><u>Material and methods:</u> 1) Figure 1 has been moved to introduction section. See revised manuscript. 2) See references [11,12] for this section 2.2 in the revised paper (yellow font) 3) See the formulas for AV, SV, IV, PV and unsaponifiable matter in the section 2.3.2 of the revised manuscript.</p> <p><u>Discussion:</u> 1) See meaning of abbreviations (Table 1 & 2) in the revised manuscript. 2) GCMS of 'breeding varieties' has been provided in the revised manuscript. See Figures 2A& 2B</p> <p><u>Conclusion:</u> 1) See revised conclusion based on final results about CPO extracted from local and improved varieties</p> <p><u>Reference:</u> 1) See reference section of the revised manuscript according to author's guideline</p>
Optional/General comments	Adopt the document preparation format and improve the grammatical presentation	See revised manuscript for modifications in yellow font

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>