SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JSRR_46394**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Development and Implementation of a Tool for Website Usability Measurement**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Papers**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The authors of this paper have tried to develop a tool for measuring the 'usability' of a website, experimented with two websites and reported their results. The point of 'usability' was aptly explained before taking any further step, and this is a good approach. The questions posed in the users' feedback are satisfactory. System Usability Scale (SUS) formula is fine and the data + results are presented in an adequate manner. Further, the SUS diagram (the graph) gives a nice idea to the reader on this concept and assures its own 'usability'!** **This work is commendable.**	Thank you very much for your valuable comment.
Minor REVISION comments	NONE	
Optional/General comments	NONE	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	