



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_47511
Title of the Manuscript:	Bacteriological Quality of Kunu Drink Sold In Bayelsa State Nigeria and the Pathogenic Potential of Some Isolates
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In Abstract: Respectively should be respectively. Full name of the species.</p> <p>In Introduction: Line 47: <i>Lacobacillus</i> should be <i>Lactobacillus</i>.</p> <p>In material and methods: Use ml or mL Line 82: 105 and 0.mL should be 10⁵ and 0.1 mL Line 83: 105 should be 10⁵ Line 84: These was should be "These were" Line 83: nutrient, MacC onkey and salmonella/shigella should be "Nutrient, MacConkey and Salmonella/Shigella Agar" Line 103,104, 106-108, 115, 117: <i>E. coli</i> should be italic. Line 128: <i>S. aureus</i> should be italic: Line 95 "Identification of Isolated Bacteria" Reference/s should be added.</p> <p>In Results: All species names should be italic. Table should be redrawn. 3.1 subheading should be added. In 3.1, The data values are incompatible with table 1. The numerical values of the figures are missing. Figures and Table 3 should also be evaluated in the results. The last table (possible table 4) was unused in the article, the abbreviations are not specified. Line 171: "Numbesr in parentheses =percentages" should be deleted.</p> <p>In Discussion: Line 200: <i>Pseudmonas</i> should be <i>Pseudomonas</i>. Please discuss the results of figures.</p>	<p>Corrected as per the comments</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>All correction were effected in the manuscript</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Revision done</p>
Minor REVISION comments	References should be checked.	
Optional/General comments	I think that the language should be revised over all the text. the paper should be revised by a native speaker.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	