



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_47511
Title of the Manuscript:	Bacteriological Quality of Kunu Drink Sold In Bayelsa State Nigeria and the Pathogenic Potential of Some Isolates
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Lines 17-18: Authors should provide information from literature about other similar fermented cereal based beverages from Europe.</p> <p>Citation: Pasqualone A, Summo C, Laddomada B, Mudura E, Coldea TE, 2018. Effect of processing variables on the physico-chemical characteristics and aroma of borş, a traditional beverage derived from wheat bran., Food Chemistry, 265:242-252. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.05.095</p> <p>Line 28: Authors should check English grammar. English proofing is needed in entire document.</p> <p>The collection of samples is not clearly described. Authors should specify if beverage samples were provided from local stores, markets, storage temperatures is the store, their shelf life, storage conditions until the moment of analyze. Volume of collect samples and how were they collected?</p> <p>Table 1 - A legend is needed to indicate the table abbreviations (and information presented in the brackets).</p> <p>The figure from the line 160-161 has no number. Every figure and table should be referred in the text.</p> <p>Please check English spelling.</p> <p>Table from the lines 188-189 has no number, no description and no matching in text.</p>	<p>All revision has been done and implemented in the revised manuscript</p> <p>Correction effected</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Correction done</p> <p>English have been improved</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	