



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Scientific Research and Reports |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JSRR_48186 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | IMPACT OF THERMAL TREATMENT ON ANATOMICAL AND MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF Rhicinodendron heudelotii WOOD |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | | |
| Minor REVISION comments | <p>Title: Impact Of Thermal Treatment On Anatomical And Mechanical Properties Of <i>Rhcinodendron Heudelotii</i> Wood</p> <p>Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The authors should end their introduction with a mini paragraph citing what the paper contains and what is being presented. 2. The authors should consider adding a nomenclature to identify the parameters and abbreviations used throughout the paper. This will help reduce the size of the manuscript. 3. The authors should show a photo or a schematic of the test specimens used including the dimensions. 4. Adding a photo of the experimental set up is highly recommended. 5. Figure 3, the authors should add titles point out to the key points of interest shown on the SEM images. 6. Tables I, II and III should have the word Table I and Table II before the title. 7. The conclusion is not very clear, it sounds like a general statement. The author's should consider bullet style statements citing the accomplishments made and the issues that may have affected the results if any exist. | Thank you for your comment and all the suggestions have been considered |
| Optional/General comments | Overall the paper is in good format, upon addressing the above, it can be released for publication. | |



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>