



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_48186
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF THERMAL TREATMENT ON ANATOMICAL AND MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF Rhicinodendron heudelotii WOOD
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Title: Impact Of Thermal Treatment On Anatomical And Mechanical Properties Of Rhicinodendron Heudelotii Wood</b></p> <p><b>Comments:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The authors should end their introduction with a mini paragraph citing what the paper contains and what is being presented.</li> <li>2. The authors should consider adding a nomenclature to identify the parameters and abbreviations used throughout the paper. This will help reduce the size of the manuscript.</li> <li>3. The authors should show a photo or a schematic of the test specimens used including the dimensions.</li> <li>4. Adding a photo of the experimental set up is highly recommended.</li> <li>5. Figure 3, the authors should add titles point out to the key points of interest shown on the SEM images.</li> <li>6. Tables I, II and III should have the word Table I and Table II before the title.</li> <li>7. The conclusion is not very clear, it sounds like a general statement. The author's should consider bullet style statements citing the accomplishments made and the issues that may have affected the results if any exist.</li> </ol>	Thank you for your comment and all the suggestions have been considered
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Overall the paper is in good format, upon addressing the above, it can be released for publication.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>