



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_49052
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Ergonomic Risks in Workers of the Furniture Industry
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Satisfactory	
Minor REVISION comments	Needs to incorporate the objectives of the study separately	Adjusted
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	The workers able to integrate the research were informed about the objectives, the methodology and the acceptance of participation. Ensuring your anonymity and image preservation. All agreed and signed the informed consent form and questionnaires were applied in the form of an individual interview. The project was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Federal University of Viçosa (CEP-UFV / CAAE: 55299216.9.0000.5153).