



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Microbiology Research Journal International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_42815
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular detection of astrovirus in diarrhoeic stools of children in North East Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. Please complete the abstract by adding PCR in the methods part and adding <b>every percentage that mentioned in the results</b>, such as the percentage of female and male children.</p> <p>2. The RT of RNA should use the specific reverse primer other than the random primer, so as to get more positive PCR products. Five PCR products out of 30 positive samples were much less than usual. Please repeat the RT-PCR with specific reverse primer in RT.</p>	<p>PCR included. Lines 18 &amp; 19 in the abstract section highlight the percentages for male and female. However, reflecting <b>every % mentioned in the results</b> will make the abstract bogus. It is expected that any reader interested in more details would refer to appropriate section.</p> <p>Primers are specific for the region amplified. Also, there may have been other un-investigated factors attributable for the few amplicons generated</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		