



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_46534
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth Profile and Metabolic Pathways Involved in Degradation of Aromatic Hydrocarbons by Marine Bacteria Isolated from Niger Delta, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. ABSTRACT Place and Duration of Study The studied sites were Abonema Wharf Water Front in Akuku-Toru Local Government Area, Nembe Water-side in Port Harcourt Local Government Area and Onne Light Flow Terminal Seaport located in Eleme Local Government Area of Rivers State, Nigeria. It is NOT the institution/university of the author(s).</p> <p>2.The author(s) should endeavour to effect the corrections and remove the parts marked in Red highlights.</p> <p>3. The corrections in yellow should be made by the author(s) throughout the work.</p> <p>4. REFERENCES Names of cited Journals should be written in full.</p>	<p>1. The correction of the abstract sub section: place and duration of study was effected appropriately.</p> <p>2. The corrections of parts marked in Red highlights were duly effected.</p> <p>3. The corrections in yellow colour were also effected throughout the work except in the first letter of the words in sub-subheading and sub-sub-subheading which are not according to the guidelines of Science Domain Intl Paper template on sub-sub-subheadings sections.</p> <p>4. The names of cited Journals were not effected in full because the references were written according to reference guidelines in Science Domain Intl Paper template.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. The authors should consider showing the culture isolates/plates as necessary.</p> <p>2. Editing and formatting of manuscript is imperatively required.</p>	<p>1. The culture isolates/plates were included/inserted in the result section where necessary.</p> <p>2. The manuscript was edited and formatted where necessary.</p>
Optional/General comments	A well researched paper. It is therefore recommended for acceptance after the corrections have been made.	All the corrections were effected and highlighted in yellow colour where necessary.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript.