



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Microbiology Research Journal International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_46534
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Growth Profile and Metabolic Pathways Involved in Degradation of Aromatic Hydrocarbons by Marine Bacteria Isolated from Niger Delta, Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>1. ABSTRACT</b> <b>Place and Duration of Study</b> The studied sites were Abonema Wharf Water Front in Akuku-Toru Local Government Area, Nembe Water-side in Port Harcourt Local Government Area and Onne Light Flow Terminal Seaport located in Eleme Local Government Area of Rivers State, Nigeria. It is NOT the institution/university of the author(s).</p> <p>2.The author(s) should endeavour to effect the corrections and remove the parts marked in <b>Red highlights</b>.</p> <p>3. The corrections in <b>yellow</b> should be made by the author(s) throughout the work.</p> <p><b>4. REFERENCES</b> Names of cited Journals should be written in full.</p>	<p>1. The correction of the abstract sub section: place and duration of study was effected appropriately.</p> <p>2. The corrections of parts marked in <b>Red highlights</b> were duly effected.</p> <p>3. The corrections in <b>yellow</b> colour were also effected throughout the work except in the first letter of the words in sub-subheading and sub-sub-subheading which are not according to the guidelines of Science Domain Intl Paper template on sub-sub-subheadings sections.</p> <p>4. The names of cited Journals were not effected in full because the references were written according to reference guidelines in Science Domain Intl Paper template.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. The authors should consider showing the culture isolates/plates as necessary.</p> <p>2. Editing and formatting of manuscript is imperatively required.</p>	<p>1. The culture isolates/plates were included/inserted in the result section where necessary.</p> <p>2. The manuscript was edited and formatted where necessary.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	A well researched paper. It is therefore recommended for acceptance after the corrections have been made.	All the corrections were effected and highlighted in yellow colour where necessary.

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript.