



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Microbiology Research Journal International</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_MRJI_46534</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Growth Profile and Metabolic Pathways Involved in Degradation of Aromatic Hydrocarbons by Marine Bacteria Isolated from Niger Delta, Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The work is detailed and elaborate, however, a <b>growth curve</b> would more appropriate in describing a growth profile.	The growth curve was not applied because that aspect of analysis was actually carried out to select the best degraders from all the isolates in each sampling sites as well as lacking time rate or time intervals data that will enable plotting of growth curve: absorbance vs. time.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The pathways described are catabolic and should be stated.	The term 'catabolic' was stated and used in lieu of metabolic and was finally reflected and highlighted throughout the manuscript.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The write-up is okay except for some spelling mistakes which can reduce the quality of any work if not corrected.	The spelling mistakes and grammars were dully effected. All the corrections were effected and highlighted in yellow colour.

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript.