



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_46555
Title of the Manuscript:	First MIRU-VNTR typing of Mycobacterium ulcerans in Togo (West Africa)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Authors should edit the manuscript to improve its language grammar and include missed out necessary details; for example the first sentence in the abstract appears to be incomplete – third most what? All generic and specific names should written in italics, even <i>et al.</i> , All abbreviated terms should be written in full the first time before subsequent use of abbreviations	The authors recognize that the language grammar should be improved and will make the best for. About the generic and specific names even <i>et al.</i> , they should be written in italics in the revised manuscript. All abbreviated terms are now written in full the first time in the revised manuscript and are highlighted in yellow
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> The authors indicate that the study was approved by the relevant authority	No there are any ethical issues in the manuscript. So we delete in the revised manuscript the "DECLARATION OF HELSINKY" but we safe all the authorisation about the National Ethical Comettee and the National Program against Buruli ulcer of Togo board authorisation like written below: The study was received approval from the Bioethics Committee for Health Research (CBRS) of Togo (N ° 21 / 2017 / CBRS of October 8, 2017) and the access to the data was authorized by the board of the National Program against Buruli Ulcer, Leprosis and Pian (Reference: 159/2015/MS/ DGS/ DSSP/ PNLUB-LP of November 20, 2015).