



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_46972
Title of the Manuscript:	SELECTION, CHARACTERIZATION, AND SUBMERGED CULTIVATION OF UV MUTANTS OF STREPTOMYCES CLAVULIGERUS FOR CLAVULANIC ACID PRODUCTION
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the topic, it should be <i>Streptomyces clavuligerus</i> not STREPTOMYCES CLAVULIGERUS. 2. The topic should be written starting with the uppercase letter followed by lower case letters like Abstract. Maintain uniformity throughout the manuscript. 3. Line 6, use some other word like recently for nowadays because it is not suitable for scientific writing. 4. Line 52, it should be Material and methods. 5. Line 82-85, 86-88 and 152-154, single sentence cannot be a paragraph. 6. Line 126-128, rephrase the sentence. 7. Line 130, use mutant instead of mutants. 	<p>We are very happy with your comments and all the comments were carefully considered before edition.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Corrected. 2. Corrected. 3. Corrected. 4. Corrected. 5. The sentences have been corrected. 6. The sentence has been rewritten. 7. Corrected.
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Line 44, use nitrogenous instead of nitrogenated. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Corrected.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	