[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Journal Name:	[Microbiology Research Journal International](#)
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_MRJI_48119**
Title of the Manuscript:	**In vitro evaluation of antifungal activity of virgin coconut oil and white palm kernel oil on *Candida species*-Experimental study**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

<mark>**PART 1:**</mark> **Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	ABSTRACT Line-21-22 mention the concentration for maxxmimum inhibitory percentage of virgin coconut oil Line 23-24 mention concentrations Similarly mention the values for palm kernel oil also .---very important RESULTS AND DICSUSSION Line 203- The inhibitory power of coconut oil is due to its composition of capric and lauric acid which exert antifungal activities by disintegrating the cell wall [13]. There is no experimental evidence for presence of capric acid and lauric acid composition chemically in manuscript for disintegrating the cell wall material. Kindly rewrite the sentence " inhibitory power might be due to" followed by reference 13. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS Author mentioned use of graph pad prism software in statistical analysis – mention the version of software used. Also when graph pad prism and student t test were used to perform statistical analysis mention a note on ANOVA details and also plot the graphs using graph pad prism indicating Mean ±SEM values , not with the Microsoft excel which is substandard to the manuscript.because P values couldnot be obtained in Microsoft excel except graph pad software kindly revise this, mandatory	Corrections were done. Means Mean ±SEM values are indicated in red on the graphs. I also added statistical analysis in result section.
Minor REVISION comments	Rewrite <mark>pig as</mark> PIg mentioned in abbreviations where ever necessary and highlited in yellow Typhographical Spelling mistakes were identified and highlited ,space adjustments suggested, References were not well organized ssome were bold and others not –reorganize as per journal instructions	Corrections were done.
Optional/General comments	Although the the content of manuscript was well written and selection of research title, work samples were appreciated minor corrections with typographical spellings and organizing bibliography were suggested.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

SDI Review Form 1.6

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	This part is not applicable to this work. There are not ethical issues in this manuscript. human subjects are not involved