



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Microbiology Research Journal International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_48952
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbiological Analysis of Beef Meat collected at different hours of the day in Ekpoma Town Market
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	In the present studies the authors assessed the microbial quality of beef meat collected at different hours of the day. The paper is apparent, concise and well written. The introduction provides useful information for the readers. The methods are appropriate. The results are clear and compelling. The manuscript should be of interest to the readers of Microbiology Research Journal International. The authors correctly cited literature with similar findings to theirs. Specific comments follow.  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Express mean microbial count with standard deviation</li> <li>✓ Change the title for Table 1 on: Microbial quality of beef meat</li> <li>✓ Change total visible count on total viable count,</li> <li>✓ Change Total viable aerobic protein bacteria count (APC) on total proteolytic bacteria count</li> <li>✓ Inappropriate reference citations ignoring the journal's format.</li> <li>✓ Journal names abbreviated (NCBI databases).</li> </ul>	All comments noted have been reviewed accordingly. Thanks.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	None at all.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>