



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_48952
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbiological Analysis of Beef Meat collected at different hours of the day in Ekpoma Town Market
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please, insert line number. In the title, please, add the county of Ekpoma town. In the abstract, please, don't put the number (numerically) after alphabetically [Ten (10)]. In the abstract, please, add the statement (In conclusion). The keywords should be different from those in the title. In the introduction, please, add references concerning microbial spoilage of meat. In the introduction, please, add recent references. In Materials, and Methods, please, add the average weight of collected samples. Please, the discussion needs to be deeper with more recent references. 	The work has been reviewed according to reviewer's comments but with exception from line numbering of the article, which I feel is not necessary, and references on microbial spoilage, which I think it's okay.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	none