



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_46610
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbiological profile of bacterial conjunctivitis in individuals with onchocerciasis infection in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The rationale/statement of the problem was not highlighted in the abstract. 2) Visual acuity alone is not a determinant of visual impairment. 3) The abstract did not capture the control group. 4) The grades of VA are unknown to any empirical categorization. 5) Living in oncho endemic area and being infected with oncho are two different things. 6) The work did not show the extent to which onchocerciasis affected the microbial profile in conjunctivitis. 7) Onchocerciasis is a parasitic infection while conjunctivitis is bacterial. The status of co-infection was not shown. This would have strengthened the rationale of the study. 	Noted
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The caption of Table 6 did not explain the content. 2) The rationale for the comparison between microbial profile of conjunctivitis in persons with and without onchocerciasis was not captured. 3) There are no data to show the microbial profile in conjunctivitis with and without onchocerciasis. 4) The introduction is weak. 	Noted
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The topic should be reviewed to capture the results.. 	Noted

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	