



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_OR_47107   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | PATTERN OF EYE DISORDERS AT THE OPHTHALMOLOGY CLINIC OF A TERTIARY HEALTH FACILITY IN THE NIGER-DELTA REGION: THE IMPLICATIONS FOR PREVENTIVE OPHTHALMOLOGY |
| Type of the Article      |   |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)  |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p>In abstract, do not reference to a figure in the text.<br/>In methods, the Authors write that "A p-value &lt;0.05 was regarded as statistically significant." There are no comparisons in the results section, so what kind of significance were calculated?</p> <p>Results: Differences of these diagnosis regarding the sex of the patients would be interesting.</p> <p>The system of the health- and ophthalmological structure of your region would be interesting also. Is there any referral system to this health facility or to your department?<br/>How many ophthalmologists are working in this clinic?<br/>I believe, a lot of diseases are underdiagnosed (mainly retinal disorders) in regular consulting hours, if there are no enough time for detailed examinations. In this clinic, how many minutes are available per patients?<br/>What are your thoughts about these problems?</p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Noted and done</li> <li>2. Data analysed with United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Epi-Info version 7 software.</li> <li>3. Data on difference in diagnosis as regarding sex was not available.</li> <li>4. We have very few ophthalmologists in Nigeria, less than 500 at the time of this study, compared to the total number of doctors in the country.</li> <li>5. There were only 9 ophthalmologists in our clinic at the time of this study with an established referral system.</li> <li>6. Though we have a few ophthalmologists in our clinic now who have received subspecialty training and operating subspecialty clinics with enough time to see each patient, it was not so at the time of this study and some disorders were certainly underdiagnosed.</li> </ol> |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      |   |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |   |  |

**PART 2:**

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | There was no ethical problem  |