



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_49456
Title of the Manuscript:	Tackling the burden of blindness in Ogun state, Nigeria- The success of Private/Public Partnerships
Type of the Article	Commentary

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>(1). Kindly correct your citation pattern. Citations in the text, should be indicated by normal reference number and not superscript. This is Preferably in a bracket [ ], as stated in the authors' guidelines at <a href="http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/23/authors-instruction">www.sciencedomain.org/journal/23/</a> authors-instruction. Refer for further clarifications.</p> <p>(2). Please cross check and correct the position of dates on a cited and referenced journals. All references should follow the journals prescribed style. Eg, reference to a journal, for published paper: 1. Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98. Please refer to the paper template or authors guidelines at <a href="http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/23/authors-instruction">www.sciencedomain.org/journal/23/</a> authors-instruction, for further clarifications.</p>	<p>All have been corrected. Done.</p> <p>Position of date has been corrected.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>A good abstract, with a well presented commentary and an encompassing conclusion.</p> <p><b>Red</b> highlights on manuscript signifies delete /make corrections <b>Green</b> highlights on manuscript signifies add /corrected</p>	<p>Thank you. Corrected.</p>

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>