



## SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Physical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_46248
Title of the Manuscript:	Chirped Gaussian Pulse excitation of a harmonic oscillator
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Page 2, Line 1 should read "We investigate the ..."</li> <li>2. Page 2, Line 10 should read "...quantised HO has been.."</li> <li>3. Page 2, Line 12 should read "...wavelet spectra were.."</li> <li>4. Page 6, the way that the figure was referred to must be clear and consistent all over the article.</li> <li>5. Page 15 should include the heading of "References".</li> </ol>	<p>We thank the referees for their comments.</p> <p>In the revised version:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) We have corrected the typographical errors mentioned in the report. Also, consistent reference to the figures is done throughout the paper.</li> <li>2) We have added a third paragraph referring to additional physical realization of the single mode quantized HO with new references [5,6].</li> <li>3) In the last section, 5, we have added the last paragraph about possible future extension of the present model, with addition of two references [8,9].</li> </ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>