



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Physical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_46772
Title of the Manuscript:	Outdoor radon measurements during 2018 in São José dos Campos, Brazil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Provide the values of the measurement performed</b></p> <p>Abstract <b>Provide 3-4 keywords</b></p> <p>Introduction <b>Reference the figures appropriately</b></p> <p><b>Provide additional paragraph as the last paragraph of the introduction section and state the statement of research problem, significance of the study and main objective of the study.</b></p> <p>Materials and Methods <b>Provide additional paragraph as the first paragraph of the materials and methods; clearly explain study design, sampling method, how the sample size was derived and the study duration.</b></p> <p>Results and Discussion <b>Explain how the measuring instrument was validated.</b></p> <p><b>Explain the statistical used in the data analysis</b></p> <p><b>Provide a separate sections for results and discussion</b></p> <p><b>In the discussion section compare the findings of the study with that of previous published articles, where there is variation state the possible reasons of the variation and clearly explain the implications.</b></p> <p>Conclusion <b>Paraphrase the conclusion to communicate very well with the title and main objective of the study.</b></p> <p>References <b>Use Vancouver style of referencing appropriately</b></p>	All corrections have been done in the revise MS
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	