



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Parasitology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_SAJP_46887</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>AGE AND SEX RELATED PREVALENCE AND DISTRIBUTION OF HOOKWORM INFECTION AMONG PUPILS OF UNIVERSITY OF CALABAR STAFF SCHOOL, CALABAR, NIGERIA</b>
Type of the Article	<b>ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>The manuscript is quite interesting and scientifically OK. Authors should address the following comments urgently:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The title should be reframed to suit the results. It should not capture ONLY Sex and Age because other risk factors will be included.</li> <li>2. Many components of an article missing in your study (e.g No Discussion etc)</li> <li>3. The methodology is quite shallow and not presented well. Check and Correct.</li> <li>4. The same result is not advised to be presented in figure and table. Please check and expunge where necessary.</li> <li>5. The Introduction should be rewritten with recent references.</li> <li>6. Most references used here are obsolete. Please use recent ones (2015-2019 papers).</li> <li>7. Add more risk factors to your study to make it robust rather than just sex and age distribution.</li> <li>8. Check misspelled, typographical and grammatical errors and correct.</li> </ol>	<p>The title have been reframed to suit the results.</p> <p>Discussion has now been added to the article</p> <p>Ok sir I have done that sir I have updated the references but some obsolete ones are used for comparison I noticed that sir, I have edited the topic</p> <p>Ok sir</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript needs a general overhaul. The authors need to do more work to enable the paper ready for publication.	The manuscript have been overhauled as suggested by you sir.

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>No</p>	There is no ethical issue in the manuscript