

REMARKS:			
Section of MS	Comments added	Response of the author	Remark/s
Page 2, para 1	<p>Is there any report of use of this plant at any “antimicrobial” or related purposes by any community?</p> <p>If so, you have to add it here.</p> <p>Every plant may be antimicrobial due to evolutionary reason. That does not mean they are useable medicines.</p>	Yes. It has been added appropriately	
Materials and methods	<p>It was converted to fine powders by using Pastle and mortar?</p> <p>As the leaves are thick, the air-dried leaves cannot be converted to powder by pastle and mortar!</p>	So sorry about this.. it was a laboratory blender that was used. Sorry for this error	
Preparation of Crude Extracts	<p>It is NOT POSSIBLE to evaporate 250 ml of water from the solution at 40⁰C.</p> <p>It is even very difficult to do in Vacuum evaporators!</p>	<p>that seem true considering the boiling point of water. However, there is gradual evaporation and at prolonged time and batch evaporation in smaller dishes, all the water will evaporate. Otherwise increasing the temperature would destroy the bioactive principle in the extract.</p> <p>Modification/explanation has been added</p>	Modification of the MS asper these comments are not Performed.
Preparation of concentration of plant extract	<p>That means you got the crude extract at SOLID form by only evaporation at 40⁰C?</p> <p>Is It possible?</p>	<p>It was easier for the ethanol extract to evaporate to dryness. However, for the aqueous, it took longer time and the extract was jelly-like.</p> <p>In essence, evaporation was possible because only small volume of the filtrate was added to</p>	IT CAN NEVER BE SOLID. THE WORKERS ACTUALLY WORK, KNOW IT VERY WELL.

		evaporation dish in many batches	
Table 1, Table 2	<p>Where is the CONTROL?</p> <p>You are performing the experiment without control?</p> <p>The susceptibility pattern may be known, but you have to check it in your own system</p> <p>In such experiment, control should be any antibiotic. Disked impregnated with antibiotics are commercially available. Plain disks may be soaked in liquid antibiotic to prepare control.</p> <p>But you did nothing!</p>	<p>Testing the bacteria isolates against conventional antibiotics served as the control. That's the result presented in table 7. Please check. Thank you.</p> <p>Please I did not use ethyl alcohol as diluent. I used sterile distilled water which has not germicidal nor germi-static activity. Thank you sir</p>	<p>Where is the results of such Testing the bacteria isolates against conventional antibiotics (by disc diffusion)...?</p> <p>What about the effect of diluent - Ethyl alcohol – which itself is germicidal?</p> <p>NO PREVIOUS RESULT CAN BE USED IN ANY EXPERIMENT AS CONTROL</p>
Table 2	<p>The effects may be due to the Ethyl Alcohol present in the solution.</p> <p>In such experiments, the diluents (here- Ethyl alcohol) is also tested as another control to exclude the effect of the diluents.</p>	<p>After evaporation, the organic solvent (ethanol) was completely evaporated. The diluent used for preparing concentration was sterile distilled water which has no antimicrobial activity. Thank you.</p>	
Table 3	<p>VERY MUCH DOUBTFUL RESULT!</p> <p>If any extract fails to show any noticeable effect at agar well test, HOW can it show effects in MIC tests?</p> <p>It required 100 mg/ml to show a little effect in Well diffusion and having MIC at 25 mg/ml concentration?</p>	<p>Table 1 contain data for aqueous extract while table 2 is data for ethanol extract. Table 3 combines data from both table 1 and 2. For example, under MIC, there is MIC for aqueous and there is separate MIC for ethanol extract. The Staph aureus of 13.33±0.88 is from ethanol.. while the one for 25mg/ml is the MIC for aqueous extrac. They are two separate entities. You can only compare agar well activity of ethanol to MIC of ethanol and vice versa. Please checkcritically.</p>	<p>Table 2- Staph aureus (at 100 mg/ml) - 13.33±0.88;</p> <p>Table 3 – Staph aureus (aqueous) – 25 mg/ml ????</p>

		Thank you.	
Table 7	<p>What is the source of these data?</p> <p>Simple name of the university is not acceptable.</p> <p>You have to add detail regarding such data.</p>	<p>These data... was conducted in this experiment.. it is not a previous result. It was performed and recorded in this research. Details incorporated to the methodology section for Test Organisms. Than you sir</p>	<p>Detail of the test is required.- as</p> <p>Lab where test was performed, source of chemicals, materials etc. used in the experiments etc.</p>