SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_SAJRM_46921**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Antimicrobial activity of crude extracts of *Oldenlandia auricularia* against some selected human pathogens**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	There is any plant register number (exsicta)? What is the purity of solvents used in extraction? What is the postive standard used in agar difusion method? Insert that in experimental details. Which statistical tests were performed? MIC results are comparable to any positve control?	• The plant material was authenticated by the National Herbarium and the voucher specimen was deposited in the herbarium of Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, Kotelawala Defence University. The voucher specimen number of the plant is KDU/FAHS/2018/0101 • The Analytical Grade Solvents (approximately. 99-98% purity) were used. • Gentamycin (0.1 mg/ml) was used as the positive standard. It is mentioned in the section, experimental details. • The results were given as mean ± SEM. Data analysis was performed by SPSS version 21.0. Statistical comparisons were made using Duncan's new multiple range test. Significance was set at P=.05. • The macro-broth dilution method was conducted with the negative growth control and with Gentamycin as the positive control. **The above changes are included into the manuscript**
Minor REVISION comments	Section 2 should be named as Material and Methods	The author are preferred to name the section 2 as the experimental details
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	There are no ethical issues related to this study, as it is only an *in vitro* study. Therefore no animal or human experiments are involved.