



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_47284
Title of the Manuscript:	Lebanese bread (Pita) from Akure metropolis: Proximate and microbiological analyses
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>There are lots of grammar mistakes and vocabulary errors. Carefully read the article again and do the relevant language editing.</b></p> <p><b>Introduction</b> Introduction is too long. It is recommended to summarize the introduction with most relevant points</p> <p><b>Materials and methods</b> Since you have followed AOAC standard methods for proximate analysis and haven't mentioned any test modifications, it's unnecessary to describe the methods/ equations one by one.</p> <p><b>Results and Discussion</b> Proximate analysis has been discussed wrongly (You cannot declare significant variations between nutrients in a single product).</p> <p><b>Conclusion</b> Rewrite your conclusion by mainly focusing on the finding from your study.</p>	<p>Thank you for the valuable comments. The relevant language editing has been done.</p> <p>Thank you. The introduction has been summarized as per the suggestion.</p> <p>The repetition has been amended and materials and methods section corrected accordingly.</p> <p>Thank you. This discussion section has been corrected within the manuscript.</p> <p>The conclusion section has been amended accordingly. Thank you.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	It is better if you can include an acknowledgment as well.	Thank you very much for the suggestion. However, an acknowledgment section is optional, and there was no particular funding agency or particular outsourced experiment for this study. The authors are contributions are mentioned within the manuscript. The study is a product of all cited authors and their respective contributions
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	There can be bacillus organisms in the bread ingredients of which, the spores are survived during baking and germinate during storage. Search for this as well.	Thank you for the apt contribution and comment. I agree. This was noted and included within the manuscript in relevant sections.

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	