



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_46234
Title of the Manuscript:	INTERROGATING THE IMPLICATIONS OF EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE ON ENTREPRENEURIAL PERFORMANCE: A DISCUSS
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The author is interrogating the implications of emotional intelligence on entrepreneurial performance <b>among which group of people and where? This should be included in the title. Remove the last part in the title i.e "A DISCUSS"</b></li> <li>The Research Design section needs to be strengthened. The author needs to give more details on the type of literature used (for example, how many journal articles, books, articles in press, conference papers were reviewed?) which fields of study (Economics, Sociology etc?), from which continent(s) and countries, what was the subject matter?, from which period- is it from 1900 to date? Also, how did the author analysed the qualitative data and using which software/ package?</li> <li>Empirical review is weak. The author summarised other researchers' work on the subject matter in question. (S)he must critically review and discuss the findings. For example, a compare and contrast of results and trends of results as well as of methodologies (research designs) used- primary and second data used, sample size used, etc</li> <li>In my view, a review on entrepreneurship literature is incomplete without mentioning Schumpeter's seminal work on the subject matter. I recommend that the author reads a journal article entitled "Theories of Smallholder Agribusiness Entrepreneurship in the African Context: A critical review" by Benjamin Mudiwa</li> </ol>	<p>Corrections effected accordingly</p> <p>Corrections effected accordingly</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Proof read of work. I recommend the author to proof his/her work to clean up errors especially on grammar and inconsistencies (and or &amp; ?)</li> </ol>	Corrections effected accordingly
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	After incorporating the aforementioned comments, the manuscript will be strong and will make a good reading.	Thank you so much for you contributions towards the advancement of knowledge

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	