



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_SAJSSSE_46355</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>POVERTY MENACE AND HEALTH NEXUS IN NIGERIA: A BOUND TEST APPROACH</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The literature review is very brief and limited to 16 references. The problem is well understood in Africa. There are many theoretical, methodological, analytical and review publications on poverty and health in the region. Therefore, a wide review of the literature will increase the validity of the author's conclusions, their specificity and content.</li> <li>The author in the section of the conclusion of the article makes recommendations to the government of Nigeria, which should "... provide employment, reduce dependency, ill-health and ultimately ameliorate poverty in the country». At the same time, the author does not disclose the existing policy of the government of Nigeria and the specific measures that are being taken today. The author does not talk about their effectiveness or inefficiency.</li> <li>Some figures and tables have the same content: table 2.2. and figure 2.3., table 2.3 and figure 2.4, table 2.4 and figure 2.5. The author can leave the graphs that reflect the trends, and remove the tables.</li> </ul>	<p>There are no limits to the number of materials to make an article scientific. However, the 16 cited materials are the officially published works. Other unpublished works exists. Because we did references and not bibliography, 16 relevant and official articles were cited, we hope to improve on this n our future manuscripts to you sir/ma.</p> <p>Previous and existing poverty eradication programmes incorporated</p> <p>Tables removed as suggested and trends explained</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The title of the article does not quite reflect its content</li> <li>The author describes the main positive and negative trends in the social situation in Nigeria in recent decades, but does not reveal the reasons for their formation. At the same time, the author does not speak about the causes of trends that can be internal and external</li> <li>In the literature Review section, the author analyzes social and economic processes in Nigeria. This material does not correspond to the title of the section and can be transferred to a separate section. The author can in this section describe the policy of the government of Nigeria in this area</li> <li>The conclusions are very General recommendations that we can recommend to any country: "the government should focus on productivity ...", "the government at all levels should increase the allocation to health and education ...", "the Nigerian government should seek the big push approach to poverty alleviation by multi-sectoral development". These findings do not reflect the state of Nigeria's economy. The The conclusions do not contain national specifics.</li> </ul>	<p>Article title re-coined.</p> <p>Reasons identified appropriately for observed trends</p> <p>Title of the literature amended, and poverty eradication and other social programmes incorporated</p> <p>Recommendations re-written to suite national specifics.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The author should not only describe social and economic processes, but also explain them, reveal the nature and cause-effect relationships.</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	