



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_SAJSSSE_46355</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>POVERTY MENACE AND HEALTH NEXUS IN NIGERIA: A BOUND TEST APPROACH</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The author presented a well-thought article, which, nevertheless, leaves some questions. For example, the article lacks a justification for the choice of specific variables. Of course, it is intuitively clear that, for example, health is related to body condition, but it would be worth giving a concrete justification, at least at a descriptive level..	Thanks. The choice of the variables have been justified in section three under model specifications as highlighted.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	It is also not clear why there are data on poverty in other African countries, since a comparative analysis was carried out for only three of them, and the rest are not even mentioned in the text.	The study is not a comparative analysis. Thus, the reason other countries in Africa were not mentioned largely during discussions in the article. However, the data provided were to give an insight into the case of Nigeria vis-a-vis other countries in the continent.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The author accepts another model of poverty and related formulas without any justification, which does not correspond to the scientific approach to the study of social phenomena. The article is interesting, but publish it sooner before solving these issues	This has been justified as highlighted in the text. Thanks.

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	